

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/786,177	02/23/2004	David Bogart Dort	VRBIA.P7B	3793	
42047	7590 02/07/2006	EXAMINER		INER	
Tortured Path-EMI Solutions LLC			TRAN, DALENA		
1700 Diagonal Road, 300 Attn: David Bogart Dort			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Alexandria, VA 22314			3661		
		DATE MAILED: 02/07/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
				EXAMINER	
			<u> </u>		
			ART UNIT	PAPER	
				20060202	

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/786,177	DORT, DAVID BOGART						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Dalena Tran	3661						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address						
Period for Reply		(a) an Turney (as) nave						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 J	anuary 2006.							
·	·							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) 12-23 is/are pending in the application	n.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-18</u> is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12 and 19-23</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Patent Application (PTO-152)						

Art Unit: 3661

1

DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Applicant(s)

1. This office action is responsive to the amendment filed on 1/5/06. As per request, claims 12, 19, and 23 have been amended. Thus, claims 12-23 are pending.

All the foreign patent documents, and non-patent literature (sheets 2-3 of PTO 1449) of the prior art submitted on 2/23/04 still have not been considered because the documents still have not been received yet. Submission is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 12 recites "non-negative acceleration limitation" in line 2. However, line 6 refers to "said non-negative acceleration". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in line 6 of the claim. Clarification is required.

Claim 19 recites " acceleration limiting" in line 2. However, line 3 refers to "said plurality of non-negative acceleration limiting". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in line 3 of the claim. Claims 20-22 depended on claim 19, therefore, rejected for the same reason as claim 19.

Claim 23 recites "the non-negative acceleration" in lines 11-12. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation of the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3661

.1

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 23, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rolf Edmund Spencer (3593262).

As per claim 23, Rolf Edmund Spencer discloses a method for controlling the flow of traffic in a highway merge area including the acts of: placing an acceleration limiting reception device in each of a plurality of vehicles, activating at least one of said plurality acceleration limiting reception devices in a merge congestion zone, wherein merge congestion zone includes at least a stretch of an on-ramp and a portion of a travel lane prior to its connection to merge, transmitting instructions to at least one of plurality of acceleration limiting reception devices in at least one vehicle in travel lane and one merging vehicle located in stretch of on-ramp, located in merge congestion zone (see the abstract; and columns 1-2, lines 36-4), transmitting instructions to at least one of plurality of acceleration limiting reception devices in at least one vehicle and one merging vehicle located in merge congestion zone, and transmitted instruction cause the non-negative acceleration of a vehicle to be limited (see columns 2-4, lines 43-6). Rolf Edmund Spencer do not explicitly disclose the acceleration of merging vehicle to be limited to less than that of vehicle in travel lane. However, Rolf Edmund Spencer discloses to avoid to brake sharply, suddenly slow in the merge (see at least column 3, lines 38-45), the system transmit a series of amber lights need not reduce speed, so the driver in main lane has the option of either maintaining of increasing the speed to pass the merging traffic (see at least column 3, lines 54-61). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that, when the driver in the main lane increasing the speed to pass the merging traffic,

Art Unit: 3661

1.

the speed of the merging vehicle will be limited to less than that of vehicle in the main lane (or the travel lane). Therefore, Rolf Edmund Spencer implicitly discloses the acceleration of merging vehicle to be limited to less than that of vehicle in travel lane. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teach of Rolf Edmund Spencer by combining the acceleration of merging vehicle to be limited to less than that of vehicle in travel lane to avoid suddenly slow in the merge, to provide safely merging traffic, and also provide merging junction in the traffic flow fluently, this would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that also to help to reduce traffic congestion.

5. Claims 13-18 are allowable.

Remarks

- 6. Applicant's argument filed on 1/5/06 has been fully considered. Upon updated search, the new ground of rejection has been set forth as above.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dalena Tran whose telephone number is 571-272-6968. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30 AM-4:00 PM), off every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached on 571-272-6956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3661

.7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patent Examiner

Dalena Tran
DalemAM

February 2, 2006